# On the Calculation of HLW Loading in Borosilicate Glass 
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## I. Introduction

The calculation of how much high-level-waste can be put into borosilicate glass has many parts. The purpose of this technical note is to tell how the calculation is done and where all the input information comes from. Section II provides an overview of the calculation with an example of calculated results which are generated by a simple BASIC code. Section III describes how elemental isotope composition information from a burned assembly is converted to an oxide composition. The reason for doing this is because elements report to the glass as oxides, not elements (except for a few noble metals), and reactor-performance calculations usually report elemental information. Section IV is a detailed illustration of the calculation of the HLW loading in glass. This section derives an important piece of information, and that is what the mass of process chemicals added during reprocessing is relative to the fission product mass. HLW is not just fission products but contains these other added process chemicals. Then Section V is a listing of the BASIC code that takes into account all of the information described in the following sections and calculates results.

It is worthwhile to note that no single source of information has been found that gives all the information required to calculation the HLW loading that can be put into glass. The major unknown turned out to be the mass of process chemicals added during reprocessing that "ride along" with the fission products and report to vitrification. Requests for a vitrification flow sheet with a material balance and final glass composition were answered with "proprietary."

The nomenclature changes from time to time in the following text, so be careful when reading about fission products and high-level waste. Fission products are always fission products, but sometimes in the discussion HLW will be fission products plus process chemicals or HLW will be just fission products. Minor actinides and Zr fines also end up in HLW, and minor actinides are taken into account here but Zr fines are not because quantification of these fines is not readily available. HLW takes on different definitions in the open literature also, so careful consideration must always be given to the discussion.

## II. HLW Loadings in Borosilicate Glass

The HLW loading from a $60 \mathrm{GWd} /$ ton burnup fuel is calculated according to the information and description that follows. The fission product mass from a spent fuel assembly is used to calculate the fission product oxide mass based on the reported elemental masses in an assembly. From information reported in the open literature an estimate of the process chemicals carried along with the fission products can be obtained to yield the total mass of fission products plus process chemicals which is defined here as the HLW mass to be vitrified. Note that HLW is not just fission products but also the added process chemicals that are needed to perform the separations.

The individual element-to-oxide mass multipliers are documented in Section III: Fission Product Oxides in Glass. The element-to-oxide mass multipliers are then used to calculate the fission
product mass from specific assembly compositions at whatever burnup the assembly experienced. Two burnups are considered; $40 \mathrm{GWd} /$ ton and $60 \mathrm{GWd} /$ ton in files CSNF_Composition_40GWd.xls, August 16, 2010, and CSNF_Composition_60GWd.xls, August 16, 2010. These spread-sheet files yield an average element-to-mass multiplier, 1.236 and 1.237 respectively. There are upper limit concentrations for $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ and the noble metals $\left(\mathrm{RuO}_{2}+\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Rh}+\mathrm{Te}\right)$ in glass so the spread sheets also calculate the weight $\%$ of these in the fission-product oxide mass. This information is then used to calculate the HLW mass that can be loaded into glass and the final glass mass in a specified volume. The glass-loading calculation is described in Section III: Calculation of HLW Loading in Borosilicate Glass, August 17, 2010, file: Calculation_HLW_in_Bglass.doc. The calculation is performed by the code GLASSVOL.BAS and is listed in Section V. An example of the input variables to perform this calculation is as follows:

Input variables for ID: $60 \mathrm{GWd} /$ ton at $4.5 \% \mathrm{U} 235$.

| 1 | Glass density, gm/cc | 2.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | HLW oxide loading in glass, wt \% = | 9.69 |
| 3. | Mo03 loading in HLW oxide mass, wt \% = | 10.00 |
| 4. | Maximum Mo03 loading in final glass, wt \% = | 1.50 |
| 5. | Noble metal loading in HLW oxide mass, wt \% = | 12.90 |
| 6. | Maximum noble metal loading in final glass, wt \% = | 1.25 |
| 7. | Glass canister volume, m^3 = | 0.89 |
| 8. | Element-to-oxide mass multiplier = | 1.236 |
| 9. | Assembly mass, kilograms = | 430 |
|  | Fission product oxide mass per assembly, kg = | 24.9 |

The calculated results for the above input are:

```
Calculated results:
Glass mass in one canister, kilograms = 2447.5
HLW oxide mass in this glass mass, kilograms = 237.1
HLW element mass in this glass mass, kilograms = 191.9, NUWASTE input.
HLW oxide loading in glass used in this calc, wt% = 9.7
MoO3 mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = 23.7
MoO3 concentration in the glass, wt % = 0.97
Noble metal mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = 30.6
Noble metal concentration in the glass, wt % = 1.25
# of assemblies that yield the fission product mass 10, in 1 canister.
Metric tons initial HM metal in these assemblies = 4.1
Glass volume per metric ton initial HM, liters = 217.3
HLW oxide mass adjusted to accommodate the noble metal glass concentration.
The adjustment factor is 0.707 of the initial input HLW oxide mass.
The initial HLW oxide loading in glass, wt %, was 13.7
```

The calculated HLW elemental mass loading per canister is 0.192 MT and denoted as the NUWASTE input parameter above. This loading is limited by the noble metal loading of 1.25 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ in the glass as $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}+\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Rh}+\mathrm{Te}$. If this noble-metal loading limit is increased to 1.50 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ the HLW elemental loading per canister increases to 0.230 MT , and if the limit is increased to $2.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ the HLW elemental loading per canister increases to 0.271 MT. This limit of 2.0 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ is high enough so that the noble metals "fit" in the glass with no adjustment required. These results are summarized here:

| Controlling parameter <br> for HLW loading in glass | Value of controlling <br> parameter | HLW elemental mass <br> loading in one canister, <br> kilograms |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Noble-metal loading | $1.25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ maximum in glass | 192 |
| Noble-metal loading | $1.50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ maximum in glass | 230 |
| Noble-metal loading | $2.00 \mathrm{wt} \%$ maximum in glass <br> (not invoked) | 271 |

It is to be noted that the glass composition obtained (and used) from the open literature, F. Frizon, S. Gin, C. Jegou, Mass Transfer Phenomena in Nuclear Waste Packages, pages 39-46, in L.Q. Wang (Ed.): Advances in Transport Phenomena, 2009, Springer-Verlag, does not discuss concentration limits in glass for $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ and the noble metals $\left(\mathrm{RuO}_{2}+\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Rh}+\mathrm{Te}\right)$. If this composition is such that the HLW plus process chemicals can be accommodated (dissolved) in the glass, then the HLW elemental mass loading in one canister is 0.271 MT.

These glass loadings per canister are canister specific, the $0.89 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ canister volume is based on a 2 -ft diameter by 10 -ft long canister (internal dimensions). If the canister length is increased to 15 feet then the canister volume is $1.34 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

## III. Fission Product Oxides in Glass

Fission products are usually reported to a vitrification process as oxides. G. Roth and S. Weisenburger in Vitrification of high-level liquid waste: glass chemistry, process chemistry and process technology, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 202 (2000), pp 197-207, state on page 199 that "Usual waste oxide loads are between 15 and 25 wt . \% for borosilicate glass." Figure 1 on page 198 presents a waste composition for a high-level waste concentration (HLWC) that is $56 \%$ weight $\%$ fission products/actinides, $14 \%$ corrosion elements, and $30 \%$ process chemicals. Horst Wiese and Maurits Demonie in Operation of the Pamela high-level waste vitrification facility, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 137 (1992), pp 147-151, state on page 149 that "One litre of HEWC containing ca. $100 \mathrm{~g} /$ liter of waste oxides, corresponding to an amount of ca. 3 kg of irradiated heavy metal, results in 0.2 liter of glass product after vitrification." HEWC stands for high enriched waste concentrate. Wiese and Demonie show in their Figure 2 that 106 grams of waste oxide reside in 0.2 liter of glass which has a mass of 0.49 kg ; this yields a waste oxide loading of 22\%. P.C. Upson in Highly Active Liquid Waste Management at Sellafield, Progress in Nuclear Energy, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp 31-47, shows in Table 1 that HLW oxides constitute 25\% of the vitrified waste. These three references report HLW as oxides to glass.

Ferrous sulfamate is sometimes added to reduce $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{III})$ by the ferrous ion, and is also widely used to reduce $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{IV})$ to $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{III})$. The sulfamate ion is known as a holding reductant and destroys nitrite ion that would otherwise catalytically oxidize Fe (II) to Fe (III) which is not desired. In a typical process $100 \mathrm{lb}(45.45 \mathrm{~kg})$ of iron sulfate is formed for each metric ton of uranium processed, or about 4.5\% by mass based on uranium (J.T. Long, Engineering for Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing, 1978, American Nuclear Society, page 173). Ferrous sulfamate was used in the first Purex flow sheets at Hanford and Savannah River. The process was satisfactory in all respects except its addition of extraneous, nonvolatile components to the waste (M.
Benedict, T.H. Pigford, H.W. Levi, Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 1981, page 487). Frequently plutonium is reduced to the trivalent state with ferrous sulfamate, in which the reducing and valence stabilizing properties of this compound are combined (J.M. Cleveland, The Chemistry of Plutonium, 1979, page 55). Given that the stoichiometry of the plutonium reduction is one-toone, add ferrous sulfamate in excess by a factor of 2 based on the plutonium moles.

Given a mass of an isotope in a spent fuel assembly, multiply the mass by the oxide-mass-multiplier-for-element value in the tables here to obtain the mass of the isotope as oxide. Used molecular weight of oxygen as 16.0 even though it is reported as 15.9994 for the average isotopic composition of 99.759 atomic $\%$ for ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}, 0.0374$ atomic $\%$ for ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$, and 0.2039 atomic $\%$ for ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$; see Benedict, Pigford and Levi, 1981, Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 942. Numbers below are truncated, not rounded. Half life data are from Benedict, Pigford and Levi except for Pu-241 which is from D.C. Kocher, Radioactive Decay Data Tables: A Handbook of Decay Data for Application to Radiation Dosimetry and Radiological Assessments, 1981, DOE/TIC-11026, page 211.

The tables that follow here, there are two, illustrate the calculation of the spent-fuel assembly composition as oxides which yields an average element-to-oxide mass multiplier, and document the individual element-to-oxide mass multipliers. The references for the isotope information then follows.

Table 1. Spread Sheet Illustrating the Calculation of Fission-Product Oxide Masses from Elemental Masses from a 60 GWd/ton Assembly.

File: HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls; August 16, 2010, page 1 of 2.
The "element multiplier to HLW" represents the separation process; $0.1 \%$ of uranium goes to HLW so it gets a $0.001,0.1 \%$ of plutonium goes to HLW. Am-241 and Pu-241 are the same oxide mole weight so keep $50 \%$ of the Pu-241 because Pu-241 + Am-241 is constant mass to correspond to two Pu-241 half lives of 13.2 yrs; keeping $50 \%$ corresponds to 26.4 -year old spent fuel being reprocessed. Masses in grams from: Spread Sheet for 60 GWd/MT at 4.5\% initial U235; June 1, 2010 Oxide multiplier reference: Fission Product Oxides in Glass, fission_product_oxides_08June2010.doc. Assembly mass reference: CSNF_Composition_60GWd.xls; June 2, 2010.

| Element | Isotope | In one assembly: mass, grams | Element multiplier to HLW, grams | HLW mass, grams | Oxide multiplier to HLW, grams | Oxide mass to glass, grams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Americium | Am-243 | 160.7 | 1.000 | 160.7 | 1.132 | 181.9 |
| Barium | Ba-138 | 1008 | 1.000 | 1008.0 | 1.116 | 1124.9 |


| Cadmium | $\mathrm{Cd}-110$ | 42.8 | 1.000 | 42.8 | 1.146 | 49.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{Cd}-111$ | 20.0 | 1.000 | 20.0 | 1.144 | 22.9 |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{Cd}-112$ | 10.0 | 1.000 | 10.0 | 1.143 | 11.4 |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{Cd}-114$ | 10.8 | 1.000 | 10.8 | 1.140 | 12.3 |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{Cd}-116$ | 3.8 | 1.000 | 3.8 | 1.138 | 4.3 |
| Cerium | $\mathrm{Ce}-140$ | 971.3 | 1.000 | 971.3 | 1.228 | 1192.8 |
| Cerium | $\mathrm{Ce}-142$ | 861.4 | 1.000 | 861.4 | 1.225 | 1055.2 |
| Cerium | $\mathrm{Ce}-144$ | 177.7 | 1.000 | 177.7 | 1.222 | 217.1 |
| Cesium | $\mathrm{Cs}-133$ | 810.9 | 1.000 | 810.9 | 1.060 | 859.6 |
| Cesium | $\mathrm{Cs}-135$ | 305.9 | 1.000 | 305.9 | 1.059 | 323.9 |
| Cesium | $\mathrm{Cs}-137$ | 933.8 | 1.000 | 933.8 | 1.058 | 988.0 |
| Curium | $\mathrm{Cm}-245$ | 4.5 | 1.000 | 4.5 | 1.131 | 5.1 |
| Lanthanum | $\mathrm{La}-139$ | 937.0 | 1.000 | 937.0 | 1.173 | 1099.1 |
| Molybdenum | $\mathrm{Mo}-95$ | 506.7 | 1.000 | 506.7 | 1.505 | 762.6 |
| Molybdenum | $\mathrm{Mo}-97$ | 619.9 | 1.000 | 619.9 | 1.495 | 926.8 |
| Molybdenum | $\mathrm{Mo}-98$ | 638.6 | 1.000 | 638.6 | 1.490 | 951.5 |
| Molybdenum | $\mathrm{Mo}-100$ | 729.7 | 1.000 | 729.7 | 1.480 | 1080.0 |
| Neodymium | $\mathrm{Nd}-143$ | 508.6 | 1.000 | 508.6 | 1.167 | 593.5 |
| Neodymium | $\mathrm{Nd}-144$ | 920.9 | 1.000 | 920.9 | 1.166 | 1073.8 |
| Neodymium | $\mathrm{Nd}-145$ | 480.2 | 1.000 | 480.2 | 1.165 | 559.4 |
| Neodymium | $\mathrm{Nd}-146$ | 576.8 | 1.000 | 576.8 | 1.164 | 671.4 |
| Neptunium | $\mathrm{Np}-237$ | 382.9 | 1.000 | 382.9 | 1.135 | 434.6 |
| Palladium | $\mathrm{Pd}-105$ | 324.6 | 1.000 | 324.6 | 1.000 | 324.6 |
| Plutonium | $\mathrm{Pu}-238$ | 204.6 | 0.001 | 0.2 | 1.133 | 0.2 |
| Plutonium | $\mathrm{Pu}-239$ | 2628.0 | 0.001 | 2.6 | 1.133 | 3.0 |
| Plutonium | $\mathrm{Pu}-240$ | 1345.0 | 0.001 | 1.3 | 1.133 | 1.5 |
| Plutonium | $\mathrm{Pu}-241$ | 833.3 | 0.500 | 416.7 | 1.132 | 471.6 |
| Plutonium | $\mathrm{Pu}-242$ | 506.4 | 0.001 | 0.5 | 1.132 | 0.6 |
| Praseodymium | $\mathrm{Pr}-141$ | 829.2 | 1.000 | 829.2 | 1.227 | 1017.4 |
| Rhodium | $\mathrm{Rh}-103$ | 291.9 | 1.000 | 291.9 | 1.000 | 291.9 |
| Rubidium | $\mathrm{Rb}-85$ | 72.9 | 1.000 | 72.9 | 1.000 | 72.9 |
| Rubidium | $\mathrm{Rb}-87$ | 181.1 | 1.000 | 181.1 | 1.000 | 181.1 |
| Ruthenium | $\mathrm{Ru}-101$ | 597.1 | 1.000 | 597.1 | 1.317 | 786.4 |
| Ruthenium | $\mathrm{Ru}-102$ | 647.7 | 1.000 | 647.7 | 1.314 | 851.1 |
| Ruthenium | $\mathrm{Ru}-104$ | 460.2 | 1.000 | 460.2 | 1.310 | 602.9 |
| Selenium | $\mathrm{Se}-79$ | 3.6 | 1.000 | 3.6 | 1.307 | 4.7 |
| Silver | $\mathrm{Ag}-109$ | 62.1 | 1.000 | 62.1 | 1.147 | 71.2 |
| Strontium | $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ | 385.5 | 1.000 | 385.5 | 1.178 | 454.1 |
| Technetium | $\mathrm{Tc}-99$ | 569.7 | 1.000 | 569.7 | 1.323 | 753.7 |
| Tellurium | $\mathrm{Te}-130$ | 293.4 | 1.000 | 293.4 | 1.246 | 365.6 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-116$ | 2.6 | 1.000 | 2.6 | 1.276 | 3.3 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-117$ | 3.4 | 1.000 | 3.4 | 1.274 | 4.3 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-118$ | 2.9 | 1.000 | 2.9 | 1.271 | 3.7 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-119$ | 3.0 | 1.000 | 3.0 | 1.269 | 3.8 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-122$ | 3.9 | 1.000 | 3.9 | 1.263 | 4.9 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-124$ | 6.4 | 1.000 | 6.4 | 1.258 | 8.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 2. Isotope Information Illustrating the Calculation of Individual Oxide Mass Multipliers for Each Isotope.

| Element Name | Common <br> Isotope <br> Name | Isotope mole wt. | Half life | Oxide <br> Form | Oxide mole wt. | Oxide mass multiplier for element |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Americium | Am-243 | 243.1 | 7950 yr | $\mathrm{AmO}_{2}$ | 275.1 | 1.132 |
| Barium | Ba-138 | 138.3 | stable | BaO | 154.3 | 1.116 |
| Cadmium | Cd-110 | 109.9 | stable | CdO | 125.9 | 1.146 |
| Cadmium | Cd-111 | 110.9 | stable | CdO | 126.9 | 1.144 |
| Cadmium | Cd-112 | 111.9 | stable | CdO | 127.9 | 1.143 |
| Cadmium | Cd-114 | 113.9 | stable | CdO | 129.9 | 1.140 |
| Cadmium | Cd-116 | 115.9 | stable | CdO | 131.9 | 1.138 |
| Cerium | Ce-140 | 139.9 | stable | $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ | 171.9 | 1.228 |
| Cerium | Ce-142 | 141.9 | stable | $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ | 173.9 | 1.225 |
| Cerium | Ce-144 | 143.9 | 0.78 yr | $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ | 175.9 | 1.222 |
| Cesium | Cs-137 | 136.9 | 30.0 yr | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 289.8 | 1.058 |
| Cesium | Cs-133 | 132.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 281.8 | 1.060 |
| Cesium | Cs-135 | 134.9 | 3E6 yr | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 285.8 | 1.059 |
| Curium | Cm-245 | 245.1 | 9300 yr | $\mathrm{CmO}_{2}$ | 277.1 | 1.131 |
| Lanthanum | La-139 | 138.9 | stable | $\mathrm{La}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 325.8 | 1.173 |
| Molybdenum | Mo-95 | 94.9 | stable | $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ | 142.9 | 1.505 |
| Molybdenum | Mo-97 | 96.9 | stable | $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ | 144.9 | 1.495 |
| Molybdenum | Mo-98 | 97.9 | stable | $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ | 145.9 | 1.490 |
| Molybdenum | Mo-100 | 99.9 | stable | $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ | 147.9 | 1.480 |
| Neodymium | Nd-143 | 142.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 333.8 | 1.167 |
| Neodymium | Nd-144 | 143.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 335.8 | 1.166 |
| Neodymium | Nd-145 | 144.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 337.8 | 1.165 |
| Neodymium | Nd-146 | 145.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 339.8 | 1.164 |
| Neptunium | Np-237 | 237.0 | 2.14E6 yr | $\mathrm{NpO}_{2}$ | 269.0 | 1.135 |
| Palladium | Pd-105 | 104.9 | stable | Pd | 104.9 | 1.000 |
| Plutonium | Pu-239 | 239.0 | 24400 yr | $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | 271.0 | 1.133 |
| Plutonium | Pu-240 | 240.0 | 6580 yr | $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | 272.0 | 1.133 |
| Plutonium | Pu-241 | 241.0 | 14.4 yr | $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | 273.0 | 1.132 |
| Plutonium | Pu-242 | 242.0 | 3.8 E 5 yr | $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ | 274.0 | 1.132 |
| Praseodymium | Pr-141 | 140.9 | stable | $\mathrm{PrO}_{2}$ | 172.9 | 1.227 |
| Rhodium | Rh-103 | 102.9 | stable | Rh | 102.9 | 1.000 |
| Ruthenium | Ru-101 | 100.9 | stable | $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$ | 132.9 | 1.317 |
| Ruthenium | Ru-102 | 101.9 | stable | $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$ | 133.9 | 1.314 |
| Ruthenium | Ru-103 | 102.9 | 0.108 yr | $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$ | 134.9 | 1.310 |
| Ruthenium | Ru-104 | 103.9 | stable | $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$ | 135.9 | 1.307 |
| Selenium | Se-79 | 78.9 | 6.4 E 4 | $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ | 110.9 | 1.406 |
| Silver | Ag-109 | 108.9 | stable | AgO | 124.9 | 1.147 |
| Strontium | Sr-90 | 89.9 | 28.1 yr | SrO | 105.9 | 1.178 |
| Technetium | Tc-99 | 99.0 | 2.12 Eyr | $\mathrm{TcO}_{2}$ | 131.0 | 1.323 |


| Element <br> Name | Common <br> Isotope <br> Name | Isotope <br> mole <br> wt. | Half life | Oxide <br> Form | Oxide <br> mole <br> wt. | Oxide mass <br> multiplier <br> for element |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tellurium | $\mathrm{Te}-130$ | 129.9 | stable | $\mathrm{TeO}_{2}$ | 161.9 | 1.246 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-116$ | 115.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 147.9 | 1.276 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-117$ | 116.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 148.9 | 1.273 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-118$ | 117.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 149.9 | 1.271 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-119$ | 118.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 150.9 | 1.269 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-122$ | 121.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 153.9 | 1.262 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-124$ | 123.9 | stable | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 155.9 | 1.258 |
| Tin | $\mathrm{Sn}-126$ | 125.9 | 1 E 5 | $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ | 157.9 | 1.254 |
| Uranium | $\mathrm{U}-234$ | 234.0 | 2.5 E 5 yr | $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 830.0 | 1.182 |
| Uranium | $\mathrm{U}-235$ | 235.0 | 7.1 E 8 yr | $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 833.0 | 1.181 |
| Uranium | $\mathrm{U}-236$ | 236.0 | 2.39 E 7 yr | $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 836.0 | 1.181 |
| Uranium | $\mathrm{U}-238$ | 238.0 | 4.51 E 9 yr | $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 842.0 | 1.179 |
| Yttrium | $\mathrm{Y}-89$ | 88.9 | stable | $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 225.8 | 1.269 |
| Zirconium | $\mathrm{Zr}-91$ | 90.9 | stable | $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 122.9 | 1.352 |
| Zirconium | $\mathrm{Zr}-92$ | 91.9 | stable | $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 123.9 | 1.348 |
| Zirconium | $\mathrm{Zr}-93$ | 92.9 | 1.5 E 6 yr | $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 124.9 | 1.344 |
| Zirconium | $\mathrm{Zr}-94$ | 93.9 | stable | $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 125.9 | 1.340 |
| Zirconium | $\mathrm{Zr}-96$ | 95.9 | stable | $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ | 127.9 | 1.333 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## References for Element Information Used in Table 2:

Americium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 977; Oxide chosen as the dioxide because the electronic ground state of plutonium is $5 f^{6} 7 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ which yields $\mathrm{PuO}_{2}$ and the ground state of americium is $5 f^{7} 7 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$; since they both have an $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ ground state use $\mathrm{AmO}_{2}$. See J.M. Cleveland, The Chemistry of Plutonium, 1979, page 5.

Barium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 977; Oxide form from Table 9.4, Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.19.

Cadmium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 955-956; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.22.

Cerium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 963; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.25.

Cesium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 962; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.26.

Curium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 962; Oxide form from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Table 9.4, page 410.

Lanthanum: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 963; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.36.

Molybdenum: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 952; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.41.

Neodymium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 964; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.41.

Palladium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 954. Use as element.

Plutonium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 976; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.44.

Praseodymium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 964; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.47.

Rhodium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 953 - 954. Use as element.

Ruthenium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 953; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.49; also from AREVA's May 11, 2010 presentation, slide 13, noble metal mass used $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$, not the element.

Selenium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 948; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.49-3.50.

Strontium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 951; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.56.

Technetium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 953; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.57(?).

Tellurium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 953; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.57(?).

Tin: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 948; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.59.

Uranium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 975-976; Oxide form from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.60.

Yttrium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 951; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.62.

Zirconium: Isotope information from Nuclear Chemical Engineering, 2-nd, Appendix C, page 951-952; Oxide form from Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry, 14-th, Table 3.2, page 3.63.

## IV. Calculation Details of HLW Loading in Borosilicate Glass

The section describes the detailed calculation of HLW loading in glass from a burnup of 60 $\mathrm{GWd} /$ ton fuel that can be incorporated into borosilicate glass as a final waste form. The information required to perform this calculation comes from the open literature and does not require a description of the process chemicals added during reprocessing.

The AREVA presentation (by Paul Murray) of May 11, 2010, to the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board Staff in Arlington gives a reference glass formulation that is quite similar to one published by F. Frizon, S. Gin, C. Jegou, Mass Transfer Phenomena in Nuclear Waste Packages, pages 39-46, in L.Q. Wang (Ed.): Advances in Transport Phenomena, 2009, Springer-Verlag. These two compositions are given here in the following table:

Table 1. Compositions and Waste Loadings for Borosilicate Glass; Two References.

| Oxide in Glass | Nominal composition from <br> Frizon, et al., Table 1, wt \% | Reference composition from <br> Murray, slide 13, wt \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S i O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 45.1 | 45.2 |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 13.9 | 13.9 |
| $\mathbf{A l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| $\mathbf{N a}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| ZnO | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| $\mathbf{C a O}$ | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{NiO}^{\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$ | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Radioactive waste <br> oxides as: $\mathrm{FP}+$ <br> actinides + noble <br> metals and Zr fines | 0.5 | 0.5 |

Disposal glasses are obtained by melting the waste oxides with additives (probably called "frit") such as $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and CaO (from M. Benedict, T.H. Pigford, H.W. Levi, Nuclear Chemical Engineering, page 580, 1981). These additives listed here are bolded in column $1\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ is absent) and sum to $77.7 \%$. The sum of the other non-radioactive waste constituents listed, which are lithium, zinc, iron, nickel and chromium, is $8.3 \%$. These other constituents probably come from process chemicals added during reprocessing and end up as the indicated oxides in the final glass. Note that these columns sum very close to $100 \%$; $99.7 \%$ and $99.8 \%$ respectively. Thus the radioactive waste plus process chemicals must be $100.0 \%-77.7 \%$ $\approx 22.3 \%$. Since the radioactive waste oxides total $13.7 \%$, use this as a typical HLW (as fission products) loading and ignore the specifics of the process chemicals; this glass recipe implicitly takes into account process chemicals.

Conclusion: Use an HLW loading as fission-product oxides plus actinides that get through reprocessing, typically $0.1 \%$ loss, plus noble metals as elements, equal to 13.7 weight $\%$.

There are composition limits in glass for molybdenum trioxide and the noble metals. How these limits enter into the calculation are described here. Specify that the final glass must contain $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ in the range of 1 to 2 weight $\%$ and noble metals $\left(\mathrm{RuO}_{2}+\mathrm{Pd}+\mathrm{Rh}+\mathrm{Te}\right.$, ruthenium oxide + palladium + rhodium + tellurium) in the range of 1.0 to 1.5 weight $\%$. (Y. Inagaki, T. Iwasaki, S. Sato, T. Ohe, K. Kato, S. Torikai, Y. Niibori, S. Nagasaki, K. Kitayama, LWR High Burn-Up Operation and MOX Introduction; Fuel Cycle Performance from the Viewpoint of Waste Management, Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, Vol. 46, No. 7, p. 677-689, 2009, specifically see page 679). Use a 1.5 weight $\% \mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ concentration limit and a 1.25 weight \% noble metal concentration limit in glass (as the single oxide and elements as noted above).

Consider that the glass waste canister is 2 feet in diameter by 10 feet long; the volume is $0.89 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (890 liters of glass); this is used in NUWASTE. Use a final glass density of $2.75 \mathrm{MT} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (from Frizon, et al., mean value from page 43). The final waste glass mass in one canister is 2.4475 MT, or 2447.5 kilograms.

The HLW oxide mass in this glass waste canister is $13.7 \% \times 2447.5=335.3$ kilograms. To obtain the HLW elemental mass divide by 1.236 to obtain 271.3 kilograms, or 0.271 MT elemental mass per canister (the 1.236 factor comes from HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls; August 16, 2010). Now check to see if $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ and the noble metals compositions "fit" in this glass.

Given that there will be 335.3 kilograms HLW oxide in one canister and that the $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ is 10.0 wt \% of this HLW oxide mass (from HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls; August 16, 2010), the $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ mass loading in the final glass is $10.0 \% \times 335.3=33.5$ kilograms; or the final $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ loading in the glass is $33.5 / 2447.5 \times 100=1.37 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ "fits" within this glass since this loading is less than the $1.50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ maximum.

Again, given that there will be 335.3 kilograms HLW oxide in one canister and that the noble metal (element) sum is $12.9 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of this HLW oxide mass (from
HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls; August 16, 2010), the noble metal mass loading in the final glass is $12.9 \% \times 335.3=43.3$ kilograms; or the final noble metal loading in the glass is $43.3 / 2447.5 \times 100=1.77 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The noble metals do not "fit" within this glass because the limit is 1.25 weight $\%$. In order for the noble metals to not exceed $1.25 \%$, the HLW waste loading must be reduced by $1.25 / 1.77=0.706$; this yields an HLW oxide mass loading of $0.706 \times 0.335$ $=0.2367$ MT per canister, or an HLW elemental mass loading of 0.1915 MT per canister (this is the NUWASTE input parameter).

Given that one assembly produces 24.9 kilograms of HLW oxide (from
HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls, August 16, 2010), a glass canister contains the HLW oxide mass from 236.7/24.9 = 9.5 (round to 10) assemblies (from the 0.2367 MT oxide loading immediately above). These 9.5 assemblies contain 4.1 MT initial heavy metal ( 430 kg metal per
assembly, from CSNF_Composition_60GWd.xls, June 2, 2010). Thus the volume of glass produced per metric ton of initial heavy metal is 890 liters (in one canister)/4.1 = 217 liters.

The HLW elemental loading in one glass canister is 0.1915 MT per canister and is controlled by the noble metal loading. This HLW glass canister loading produces 217 liters of glass per metric ton initial heavy metal. If the noble-metal concentration limited in not invoked the HLW elemental loading in one glass canister is 0.271 MT.

## References (Section IV):

F. Frizon, S. Gin, C. Jegou, Mass Transfer Phenomena in Nuclear Waste Packages, pages 39-46, in L.Q. Wang (Ed.): Advances in Transport Phenomena, 2009, Springer-Verlag, see pages 43 \& 44.
Y. Inagaki, T. Iwasaki, S. Sato, T. Ohe, K. Kato, S. Torikai, Y. Niibori, S. Nagasaki, K. Kitayama, LWR High Burn-Up Operation and MOX Introduction; Fuel Cycle Performance from the Viewpoint of Waste Management, Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, Vol. 46, No. 7, p. 677-689, 2009, see page 679.
M. Benedict, T.H. Pigford, H.W. Levi, Nuclear Chemical Engineering, page 580, 1981
B. Kirstein, Fission Product Oxides in Glass, File: fission_product_oxides_07June2010.doc. This document describes the expected fission product oxides and the element-to-oxide mass multiplier for each fission product, use the multipliers in the table.
B. Kirstein, HLW_oxide_mass_nGlass60.xls, June 3, 2010. This Excel spread sheet calculates a lumped element-to-oxide mass multiplier based on a specified fission-product composition.
G. Rowe, CSNF_Composition_60GWd.xls, June 2, 2010. This Excel spread sheet documents the fission-product spectrum for spent nuclear fuel burned to $60 \mathrm{GWd} /$ ton. This spread sheet was slightly edited by Kirstein.

## V. Code Listing for GLASSVOL.BAS

The following BASIC code should run from DOS on any BASIC interpreter, just load it and run. Then go to Word and retrieve the output file: GLASINFO.TXT and print it. This code is not a "production" code, it’s a once-run then print-it code.

```
100 REM *******
110 CODE$="GLASSVOL.BAS"
120 VER$="8-16-10 @ 1016"
130 REM Specify the glass density, gm/cc
140 RGLASS=2.75
150 REM Specify the HLW oxide loading as a weight % of the final glass.
160 HLWOXIDE=13.7
170 REM Specify the MoO3 loading in the HLW oxide, wt %.
```

```
180 MOO3OXID=10.1
190 REM Specify the MoO3 weight % in the final glass.
200 MOLY3MAX=1.5
210 REM Specify the noble metal loading ( }\textrm{RuO2}+\textrm{Rh}+\textrm{Pd}\mathrm{ ) weight % in the
220 REM final glass.
230 NOBLES=10.3
240 REM Specify the maximum noble metal loading in the final glass mass,
250 REM wt %.
260 NOBLEMAX=1.25
270 REM Specify the glass canister size in cubic meters.
280 CANVOL=. }8
290 REM Specifiy the element-to-oxide mass multiplier.
300 ELOXIDE=1.207
310 REM Specify the fuel assembly heavy metal mass, kilograms.
320 ASSYMASS=430!
330 REM Specify the fission-product oxide mass per assembly, kilograms.
340 FPMASS=24.4
350 REM Set up an identification string.
360 ID$="You enter some identification."
370 REM Initialize some parameters.
380 HLW1=1!
390 HLW2=1!
400 JUST=1
410 REM Set a screen tab.
420 NT=58
430 REM Set some output tabs.
440 NT1=55
450 NT2=55
460 NT3=60
470 CLS
480 PRINT"Input edit screen for ";CODE$;
490 PRINT", version of ";VER$
500 PRINT:PRINT"1. Glass density, gm/cc = ";
510 PRINT TAB(NT);
520 PRINT USING"##.##";RGLASS
530 PRINT"2. HLW oxide loading in glass, wt % = ";
540 PRINT TAB(NT);
550 PRINT USING"##.##";HLWOXIDE
560 PRINT
570 PRINT"3. MoO3 loading in HLW oxide mass, wt % = ";
50 PRINT TAB(NT);
590 PRINT USING"##.##";MOO3OXID
600 PRINT"4. Maximum MoO3 loading in final glass, wt % = ";
6 1 0 ~ P R I N T ~ T A B ( N T ) ;
6 2 0 ~ P R I N T ~ U S I N G " \# \# . \# \# " ; M O L Y 3 M A X ~
6 3 0 ~ P R I N T
```

640 PRINT"5. Noble metal loading in HLW oxide mass, wt \% = ";
650 PRINT TAB(NT);
660 PRINT USING"\#\#.\#\#";NOBLES
670 PRINT"6. Maximum noble metal loading in final glass, wt $\%=$ ";
680 PRINT TAB(NT);
690 PRINT USING"\#\#.\#\#";NOBLEMAX
700 PRINT
710 PRINT"7. Glass canister volume, m^3 = ";
720 PRINT TAB(NT);
730 PRINT USING"\#\#.\#\#";CANVOL
740 PRINT"8. Element-to-oxide mass multiplier = ";
750 PRINT TAB(NT);
760 PRINT USING"\#\#.\#\#\#";ELOXIDE
770 PRINT"9. Assembly heavy metal mass, kilograms = ";
780 PRINT TAB(NT);
790 PRINT USING"\#\#\#\#";ASSYMASS
800 PRINT"10. Fission product oxide mass per assembly, kg = ";
810 PRINT TAB(NT);
820 PRINT USING"\#\#\#.\#";FPMASS
830 PRINT
840 PRINT"11. The ID string is: ";
850 PRINT ID\$
860 PRINT:INPUT"Want to change any";AN\$
870 TEST\$=LEFT\$(AN\$,1)
880 IF TEST\$="n" OR TEST\$="N" GOTO 1160
890 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the item number to be changed";ILINE
900 ON ILINE GOTO 910,930,950,970,990,1020,1050,1070,1090,1110,1130
910 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the glass density, gm/cc";RGLASS
920 GOTO 470
930 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the HLW oxide loading in glass, wt \% ";HLWOXIDE 940 GOTO 470
950 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the MoO3 loading in HLW oxide mass, wt \%";MOO3OXID 960 GOTO 470
970 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the maximum MoO3 in final glass, wt \%";MOLY3MAX 980 GOTO 470
990 PRINT:PRINT"Enter the noble metal loading in the HLW oxide";
1000 INPUT" mass, wt \%";NOBLES
1010 GOTO 470
1020 PRINT:PRINT"Enter the maximum noble metal loading in the ";
1030 INPUT"final glass mass, wt \%";NOBLEMAX
1040 GOTO 470
1050 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the glass canister volume, m^3";CANVOL
1060 GOTO 470
1070 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the element-to-oxide mass multiplier";ELOXIDE
1080 GOTO 470
1090 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the assembly mass, kilograms";ASSYMASS

1100 GOTO 470
1110 PRINT:INPUT"Enter the fission product oxide mass per assembly, kg";FPMASS
1120 GOTO 470
1130 PRINT"Enter an identification string:"
1140 INPUT ID\$
1150 GOTO 470
1160 REM Save the initial HLW oxide loading.
1170 HLWSAVE=HLWOXIDE
1180 REM Calculate the glass mass in the canister, kilograms.
1190 GLASMASS=CANVOL*RGLASS*1000!
1200 REM Calculate the HLW oxide mass in this glass mass, kilograms.
1210 HLWOMASS=HLWOXIDE*GLASMASS/100!
1220 REM Calculate the HLW element mass in this glass mass, kilograms.
1230 HLWEMASS=HLWOMASS/ELOXIDE
1240 REM
1250 REM Calculate the MoO3 mass in the HLW oxide mass.
1260 MOO3MASS=MOO3OXID*HLWOMASS/100!
1270 REM Calculate the MoO3 wt \% in the glass mass.
1280 MOO3WTPC=MOO3MASS*100!/GLASMASS
1290 REM
1300 REM Check to see if the MoO3 fits in the glass.
1310 IF MOO3WTPC<MOLY3MAX GOTO 1370
1320 REM The MoO3 does not fit. Calculate the fraction reduction in HLW
1330 REM oxide mass put into the glass due to the MoO 3 maximum.
1340 HLW1=MOLY3MAX/MOO3WTPC
1350 HLW1=.9999*HLW1
1360 REM
1370 REM Calculate the noble metal mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms.
1380 NOBLMASS=NOBLES*HLWOMASS/100!
1390 REM Calculate the nobel metal mass fraction in the glass mass.
1400 NOBLWTPC=NOBLMASS*100!/GLASMASS
1410 REM
1420 REM Check to see if the noble metals fit in the glass
1430 IF NOBLWTPC<NOBLEMAX GOTO 1490
1440 REM The noble metals do not fit. Calculate the fraction reduction in
1450 REM HLW oxide mass put into the glass due to the noble metal maximum.
1460 HLW2=NOBLEMAX/NOBLWTPC
1470 HLW2=.9999*HLW2
1480 REM
1490 REM Check to see if a change in HLW oxide loading in glass has to
1500 REM made. Check both MoO3 and noble metals, make the adjustment
1510 REM to the HLWOMASS to accommodate the one most of specification.
1520 REM
1530 REM Set a switch to keep track of who is responsible for the
1540 REM adjustment of the HLW oxide mass in the glass.
1550 REM

1560 REM If HLW1=1 and HLW2=1 then no change has to be made.
1570 REM
1580 IF HLW1=1! AND HLW2=1! GOTO 1810
1590 REM Fall through to here means a change has to be made.
1600 REM
1610 REM Check to see which is smaller.
1620 IF HLW2<HLW1 GOTO 1690
1630 REM Fall through to here means MoO3 is limiting. Adjust the 1640 REM HLW oxide mass accordingly.
1650 HLWOMASS=HLW1*HLWOWMASS
1660 ADJUST=HLW1
1670 JUST=2
1680 GOTO 1720
1690 REM Branch to here means the noble metals are limiting.
1700 REM Adjust the HLW oxide mass accordingly.
1710 HLWOMASS=HLW2*HLWOMASS
1720 HLWOXIDE=HLWOMASS/GLASMASS*100!
1730 ADJUST=HLW2
1740 JUST=3
1750 REM Go recalculate everything.
1760 REM
1770 REM Reset HLW1 and HLW2.
1780 HLW1=1!
1790 HLW2=1!
1800 GOTO 1180
1810 REM Branch to here means everything fits in the glass, so
1820 REM calculate the glass volume per metric ton initial heavy
1830 REM metal.
1840 ASSYNUM=HLWOMASS/FPMASS
1850 MTIHM=ASSYNUM*ASSYMASS/1000!
1860 GLITERS=1000!*CANVOL/MTIHM
1870 REM
1880 REM Done.
1890 CLS
1900 PRINT"Output screen for ";CODE\$;
1910 PRINT", version of ";VER\$
1920 PRINT:PRINT"Glass mass in one canister, kilograms = ";
1930 PRINT TAB(NT1);
1940 PRINT USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";GLASMASS
1950 PRINT"HLW oxide mass in this glass mass, kilograms = ";
1960 PRINT TAB(NT1);
1970 PRINT USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWOMASS
1980 PRINT"HLW element mass in this glass mass, kilograms = ";
1990 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2000 PRINT USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWEMASS;
2010 PRINT", NUWASTE input."

```
2020 PRINT"HLW oxide loading in glass used in this calc, wt% = ";
2030 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2040 PRINT USING"####.#";HLWOXIDE
2050 PRINT
2060 PRINT"MoO3 mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = ";
2070 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2080 PRINT USING"####.#";MOO3MASS
2090 PRINT"MoO3 concentration in the glass, wt % = ";
2100 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2110 PRINT USING"###.##";MOO3WTPC
2120 PRINT
2130 PRINT"Noble metal mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = ";
2140 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2150 PRINT USING"####.#";NOBLMASS
2160 PRINT"Noble metal concentration in the glass, wt % = ";
2170 PRINT TAB(NT1);
2180 PRINT USING"###.##";NOBLWTPC
2 1 9 0 \text { PRINT}
2200 PRINT"# of assemblies that yield the fission product mass";
2210 PRINT TAB(NT2+2);
2220 PRINT USING"####";ASSYNUM;
2230 PRINT", in 1 canister."
2240 PRINT"Metric tons initial HM in these assemblies = ";
2250 PRINT TAB(NT2);
2260 PRINT USING"####.#";MTIHM
2270 PRINT"Glass volume per metric ton initial HM, liters = ";
2280 PRINT TAB(NT2);
2290 PRINT USING"####.#";GLITERS
2300 PRINT
2310 ON JUST GOTO 2320,2340,2370
2320 PRINT"No HLW oxide mass in glass adjustment made."
2330 GOTO 2440
2340 PRINT"HLW oxide mass adjusted to accommodate the MoO3 glass";
2350 PRINT" concentration."
2360 GOTO 2390
2370 PRINT"HLW oxide mass adjusted to accommodate the noble metal";
2380 PRINT" glass concentration."
2390 PRINT"The adjustment factor is ";
2400 PRINT USING"#.###";ADJUST;
2410 PRINT" of the intial input HLW oxide mass."
2420 PRINT"The initial HLW oxide loading in glass, wt %, was ";
2430 PRINT USING"###.#";HLWSAVE
2440 PRINT
2450 OPEN "O",#1,"GLASINFO.TXT"
2460 PRINT #1,"Output from ";CODE$;
2470 PRINT #1,", version of ";VER$
```

```
2480 PRINT #1,
2490 PRINT #1,"Printed from file: GLASINFO.TXT on ";DATE$;
2500 PRINT #1," at ";TIME$
2510 PRINT #1,
2520 PRINT #1,"Input variables for ID: ";ID$
2530 PRINT #1,
2540 PRINT #1,"1. Glass density, gm/cc = ";
2550 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2560 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";RGLASS
2570 PRINT #1,"2. HLW oxide loading in glass, wt % = ";
2580 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2590 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";HLWOXIDE
2600 PRINT #1,
2610 PRINT #1,"3. MoO3 loading in HLW oxide mass, wt % = ";
2620 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2630 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";MOO3OXID
2640 PRINT #1,"4. Maximum MoO3 loading in final glass, wt % = ";
2650 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2660 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";MOLY3MAX
2670 PRINT #1,
2680 PRINT #1,"5. Noble metal loading in HLW oxide mass, wt % = ";
2690 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2700 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";NOBLES
2710 PRINT #1,"6. Maximum noble metal loading in final glass, wt % = ";
2720 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2730 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";NOBLEMAX
2740 PRINT #1,
2750 PRINT #1,"7. Glass canister volume, m^3 = ";
2760 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2770 PRINT #1,USING"##.##";CANVOL
2780 PRINT #1,"8. Element-to-oxide mass multiplier = ";
2790 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2800 PRINT #1,USING"##.###";ELOXIDE
2810 PRINT #1,"9. Assembly mass, kilograms = ";
2820 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2830 PRINT #1,USING"####";ASSYMASS
2840 PRINT #1,"10. Fission product oxide mass per assembly, kg = ";
2850 PRINT #1,TAB(NT);
2860 PRINT #1,USING"###.#";FPMASS
2870 PRINT #1,
2 8 8 0 ~ R E M
2890 PRINT #1,"Calculated results:"
2900 PRINT #1,
2910 PRINT #1,"Glass mass in one canister, kilograms = ";
2920 PRINT #1,TAB(NT1);
2930 PRINT #1,USING"####.#";GLASMASS
```

2940 PRINT \#1,"HLW oxide mass in this glass mass, kilograms = ";
2950 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
2960 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWOMASS
2970 PRINT \#1,"HLW element mass in this glass mass, kilograms = ";
2980 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
2990 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWEMASS;
3000 PRINT \#1,", NUWASTE input."
3010 PRINT \#1,"HLW oxide loading in glass used in this calc, wt\% = ";
3020 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
3030 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWOXIDE
3040 PRINT \#1,
3050 PRINT \#1,"MoO3 mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = ";
3060 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
3070 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";MOO3MASS
3080 PRINT \#1,"MoO3 concentration in the glass, wt \% = ";
3090 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT2);
3100 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#.\#\#";MOO3WTPC
3110 PRINT \#1,
3120 PRINT \#1,"Noble metal mass in the HLW oxide mass, kilograms = ";
3130 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
3140 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";NOBLMASS
3150 PRINT \#1,"Noble metal concentration in the glass, wt \% = ";
3160 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT1);
3170 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#.\#\#";NOBLWTPC
3180 PRINT \#1,
3190 PRINT \#1,"\# of assemblies that yield the fission product mass";
3200 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT2);
3210 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#\#\#";ASSYNUM;
3220 PRINT \#1,", in 1 canister."
3230 PRINT \#1,"Metric tons initial HM metal in these assemblies = ";
3240 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT2);
3250 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";MTIHM
3260 PRINT \#1,"Glass volume per metric ton initial HM, liters = ";
3270 PRINT \#1,TAB(NT2);
3280 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";GLITERS
3290 PRINT \#1,
3300 ON JUST GOTO 3310,3330,3360
3310 PRINT \#1,"No HLW oxide mass in glass adjustment made."
3320 GOTO 3430
3330 PRINT \#1,"HLW oxide mass adjusted to accommodate the MoO3 glass";
3340 PRINT \#1," concentration."
3350 GOTO 3380
3360 PRINT \#1,"HLW oxide mass adjusted to accommodate the noble metal";
3370 PRINT \#1," glass concentration."
3380 PRINT \#1,"The adjustment factor is ";
3390 PRINT \#1,USING"\#.\#\#\#";ADJUST;

3400 PRINT \#1," of the initial input HLW oxide mass."
3410 PRINT \#1,"The initial HLW oxide loading in glass, wt \%, was ";
3420 PRINT \#1,USING"\#\#\#\#.\#";HLWSAVE
3430 PRINT \#1,
3440 PRINT \#1,"Refences * ***********************";
3450 PRINT \#1," * * * * *"
3460 PRINT \#1,
3470 PRINT \#1,"Initial HLW oxide loading in glass reference:"
3480 PRINT \#1,"F. Frizon, S. Gin, C. Jegou, Mass Transfer Phenomena in";
3490 PRINT \#1," Nuclear Waste Packages, "
3500 PRINT \#1,"pages 39-64, in L.W. Wang (Ed.): Advances in Transport";
3510 PRINT \#1," Phenomena, 2009,"
3520 PRINT \#1,"Springer-Verlag."
3530 PRINT \#1,
3540 PRINT \#1,"Molybdenum and noble-metal maximum glass concentrations ";
3550 PRINT \#1,"reference:"
3560 PRINT \#1,"Y. Inagaki, T. Iwasaki, S. Sato, T. Ohe, K. Kato, S. Torikai,"; 3570 PRINT \#1," Y. Niibori, "
3580 PRINT \#1,"S. Nagasaki, K. Kitayama, LWR High Burn-up Operation and ";
3590 PRINT \#1,"MOX Introduction;"
3600 PRINT \#1,"Fuel Cycle Performance from the Viewpoint of Waste Management";
3610 PRINT \#1,", Journal"
3620 PRINT \#1,"of Nuclear Science and Technology, Vol. 46, No. 7, p. 677-";
3630 PRINT \#1,"689, 2009."
3640 PRINT \#1,
3641 PRINT \#1,"Pick up the output in GLASINFO.TXT."
3642 PRINT \#1, 3650 END

